

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/595,542	FURUSHO, SHINJI	
	Examiner Eric Coleman	Art Unit 2183	
All Participants: (1) <u>Eric Coleman</u> . (2) <u>Wesley Ashton</u> (Reg. No. 47,395).	Status of Application: _____		
Date of Interview: <u>26 July 2010</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: <i>none</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<i>/Eric Coleman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2183</i>			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner had contacted Counsel On July 21,2010 and indicated the claims were allowable over the prior art but requested a substitute specification to place the specification in proper form. On July 26, 2010 Counsel indicated that the substitute specification had been filed .